| Cooring | Dubrio | Critoria | for | Farning | Crada |
|---------|--------|----------|-----|---------|-------|
| 500000 | RIDIC | | | | |

| Last Name: | First: | Period: | |
|------------|--------|---------|--|
| | | | |

Prompt: Should the Bush Doctrine of preventive war be part of U.S. foreign policy? Whether yes or no, provide at least three reasons with evidence.

| Score: | 4 = Advanced | 3 = Proficient | 2 = Developing | 1 = Beginning | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Criteria: | All proficient criteria are met plus one or more of the following: | Argument clearly defends position by addressing or incorporating: | Some good reasoning and evidence, but <u>two issues</u> need improvement: | Some merit or shows effort, but <u>3 or</u> <u>more</u> issues need improvement: | |
| A. Context | Context is notably well-developed by noting how conditions came to be through earlier events | a) clear explanation of the situation (conditions) and its complexity b) clear definition of terms | a) lacks development; is simplistic or too general | a) confusing or inaccurate | |
| B. Reasoning | Reasoning is notably well-developed with insightful observations: | a) includes at least three reasons for the decision | a) missing one of required elements | a) missing two of required elements | |
| | by noting trade-offs or the merit of the opposing position OR | | b) lacks development of reasoning overall; is simplistic or too general | b) lacks development of reasoning; is simplistic or too general | |
| | by including a moral dimension of the issue and decision | | | | |
| C. Evidence | Beyond minimum evidence: | a) historic events, actors (leaders), | a) needs more details or data to | a) needs more details or data to | |
| | by citing examples from the unit in greater detail or in greater numbers OR demonstrates additional research, citing examples beyond the scope of unit materials and class discussions | or documents, etc. are cited to clearly and effectively explain and justify reasoning b) two quotes to support the decision or plan c) proper citing of sources | explain and justify the position b) missing one or more quotes c) improper citing of sources | explain and justify the position b) missing one or more quotes c) improper citing of sources | |
| D. Vocabulary | Exceptional use through 1 or more: greater elaboration extended details/distinctions achieved greater clarity/nuance | Incorporated accurate use of: a) language of the discipline b) terms specific to this topic c) general enriched vocabulary | Inadequate use of: c) concepts, factors, dynamics, etc. d) naming people, organizations, places, events, documents, etc. | Weak or missing use of: a) concepts, factors, dynamics, etc. b) details e.g. people, places, events, documents, etc. | |
| E. Organization, Syntax & Mechanics | Highly effective writing style through 1 or more methods such as: • engaging opener • varied & compelling sources • rhetorical devices | a) organized flow with transitions b) varied style; appropriate tone c) very few errors in grammar, usage, mechanics; only one or two, if any, spelling errors | a) struggling use of language that displays some of following issues: repetitive, rambling, unclear b) several errors regarding:spellingpunctuationusage | a) weak use of language displays some of the following issues: confused, unfocused, inaccurate b) too many errors: spellingpunctuationusage | |
| F. Parameters (format) | Free point if all are met. | meets requirements regarding format, sources, length, & due date | requirements not met:due date formatlengthsources | requirements not met:due dateformatlengthsources | |

| Evaluator | Context | Reasoning | Evidence | Vocab | Org | Format | Total | Comments: |
|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|-------|-----|--------|-------|-----------|
| Author | | | | | | | | |
| Peer 1: | | | | | | | | |
| Peer 2: | | | | | | | | |
| Teacher | | | | | | | | |